

# Modal effect types

Sam Lindley

The University of Edinburgh

WG 2.11 Meeting #24, Edinburgh, December 2024

Joint work with

Wenhao Tang, Leo White, Stephen Dolan, Daniel Hillerström, Anton Lorentzen

## Effect polymorphism

A prototypical pure higher-order function

`map :  $\forall a b . (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } b$`

## Effect polymorphism

A prototypical pure higher-order function

`map` :  $\forall a\ b . (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } b$

We can only pass pure functions to `map`

# Effect polymorphism

An effect-polymorphic version

$$\text{map}' : \forall a b e . (a \xrightarrow{e} b) \xrightarrow{e} \text{List } a \xrightarrow{e} \text{List } b$$

# Effect polymorphism

An effect-polymorphic version

$$\text{map}' : \forall a b e . (a \xrightarrow{e} b) \xrightarrow{e} \text{List } a \xrightarrow{e} \text{List } b$$

Is this really necessary?

# Effect polymorphism

An effect-polymorphic version

$$\text{map}' : \forall a b e . (a \xrightarrow{e} b) \xrightarrow{e} \text{List } a \xrightarrow{e} \text{List } b$$

Is this really necessary?

No! In Frank the signature of `map` is syntactic sugar for the signature of `map'`.

# Effect polymorphism

An effect-polymorphic version

$$\text{map}' : \forall a b e . (a \xrightarrow{e} b) \xrightarrow{e} \text{List } a \xrightarrow{e} \text{List } b$$

Is this really necessary?

No! In Frank the signature of `map` is syntactic sugar for the signature of `map'`.

Key observation: almost always we need only one effect variable in a type signature

## Effect polymorphism

But Frank's syntactic sugar is fragile.



## Effect polymorphism

But Frank's syntactic sugar is fragile.

For instance, consider a `yield` effect and a generator iterating over a list.

```
gen : List Int  $\xrightarrow{\text{yield}}$  1  
gen xs = map (fun x → do yield x) xs; ()
```

## Effect polymorphism

But Frank's syntactic sugar is fragile.

For instance, consider a `yield` effect and a generator iterating over a list.

```
gen : List Int  $\xrightarrow{\text{yield}}$  1  
gen xs = map (fun x → do yield x) xs; ()
```

If we forget the annotation on the arrow then Frank gives the following error message.

```
cannot unify effects e and yield, £
```

## Effect polymorphism

But Frank's syntactic sugar is fragile.

For instance, consider a `yield` effect and a generator iterating over a list.

```
gen : List Int  $\xrightarrow{\text{yield}}$  1  
gen xs = map (fun x → do yield x) xs; ()
```

If we forget the annotation on the arrow then Frank gives the following error message.

```
cannot unify effects e and yield, £
```

Can we do better?

## From function arrows to effect contexts

Conventional effect typing — function arrows are annotated with effects

$$\vdash \text{fun } (f, x) \rightarrow f \ x \ : \ ((\text{Int} \xrightarrow{E} 1) \times \text{Int}) \xrightarrow{E} 1$$

## From function arrows to effect contexts

Conventional effect typing — function arrows are annotated with effects

$$\vdash \text{fun } (f, x) \rightarrow f \ x : ((\text{Int} \xrightarrow{E} 1) \times \text{Int}) \xrightarrow{E} 1$$

Modal effect typing — **ambient effect context** determines effects

$$\vdash \text{fun } \underbrace{(f)}_{@ E}, \underbrace{x}_{@ E} \rightarrow \underbrace{f \ x}_{@ E} : \underbrace{((\text{Int} \rightarrow 1) \times \text{Int})}_{@ E} \rightarrow \underbrace{1}_{@ E} @ E$$

## Effects contexts

An **effect context**  $\mathbb{E}$  is a row of typed operations

## Effects contexts

An **effect context**  $\mathbb{E}$  is a row of typed operations

Example: `get` : `1`  $\rightarrow$  `Int`, `put` : `Int`  $\rightarrow$  `1`

## Effects contexts

An **effect context**  $\mathbb{E}$  is a row of typed operations

Example: `get` : `1`  $\rightarrow$  `Int`, `put` : `Int`  $\rightarrow$  `1`

Effect context rows are **scoped** (as in Frank and Koka)

- ▶ repeats are allowed (same name but possibly different signatures)
- ▶ order of repeated operations matters
- ▶ relative order of distinct operations does not matter



## Modal effect types

**Modes** are effect contexts

**Modalities** are transformations on modes

## Modal effect types

**Modes** are effect contexts

**Modalities** are transformations on modes

$\text{MET}$  — simply-typed core calculus of modal effect types

## Modal effect types

**Modes** are effect contexts

**Modalities** are transformations on modes

$\text{MET}$  — simply-typed core calculus of modal effect types

$\text{METL}$  — surface language for  $\text{MET}$  with: bidirectional typing for inferring introduction and elimination of modalities + algebraic data types + polymorphism

## Modal effect types

**Modes** are effect contexts

**Modalities** are transformations on modes

$\text{MET}$  — simply-typed core calculus of modal effect types

$\text{METL}$  — surface language for  $\text{MET}$  with: bidirectional typing for inferring introduction and elimination of modalities + algebraic data types + polymorphism

Almost all examples in this talk use the **simply-typed** fragment of  $\text{METL}$

## Overriding the ambient context with absolute modalities

$$\vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{do } \text{yield } (x + 42)}_{@ \text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1} : [\text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1]( \underbrace{\text{Int} \rightarrow 1 }_{@ \text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1} ) @ .$$

## Overriding the ambient context with absolute modalities

$$\vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{do } \text{yield } (x + 42)}_{@ \text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1} : [\text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1](\underbrace{\text{Int} \rightarrow 1}_{@ \text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1}) @ .$$

The **absolute modality** `[yield : Int → 1]` **overrides** the empty ambient effect context `(.)` in the function body enabling the `yield` operation to be performed.

## Overriding the ambient context with absolute modalities

$$\vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{do } \text{yield } (x + 42)}_{@ \text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1} : [\text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1]( \underbrace{\text{Int} \rightarrow 1 }_{@ \text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1} ) @ .$$

The **absolute modality** `[yield : Int → 1]` **overrides** the empty ambient effect context `(.)` in the function body enabling the `yield` operation to be performed.

In general `[E]` overrides the ambient effect context with `E`.

## Overriding the ambient context with absolute modalities

$$\vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{do } \text{yield } (x + 42)}_{@ \text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1} : [\text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1](\underbrace{\text{Int} \rightarrow 1}_{@ \text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1}) @ .$$

The **absolute modality**  $[\text{yield} : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1]$  **overrides** the empty ambient effect context  $(.)$  in the function body enabling the `yield` operation to be performed.

In general  $[E]$  overrides the ambient effect context with  $E$ .

Effect contexts given by absolute modalities percolate through the structure of a type:

- ▶ a function of type  $[E](A \rightarrow B)$  may perform effects  $E$  when invoked
- ▶ elements of a list of type  $[E](\text{List } (A \rightarrow B))$  may perform effects  $E$  when invoked



## Effect context abbreviations

Example:

```
eff Gen a = yield : a → 1
```

- ▶ `[Gen Int]` denotes the modality `[yield : Int → 1]`
- ▶ `[Gen Int, E]` denotes the modality `[yield : Int → 1, E]`

## Absolute modalities and higher-order functions

Iteration specialised to integer lists:

```
iter : []((Int → 1) → List Int → 1)
iter f nil      = ()
iter f (cons x xs) = f x; iter f xs
```

## Absolute modalities and higher-order functions

Iteration specialised to integer lists:

```
iter : []((Int → 1) → List Int → 1)
iter f nil      = ()
iter f (cons x xs) = f x; iter f xs
```

Applying a pure higher-order function in an impure effect context:

```
⊢ iter (fun x → do yield (x + 42)) : 1 @ Gen Int
```

## Absolute modalities and higher-order functions

Iteration specialised to integer lists:

```
iter : []((Int → 1) → List Int → 1)
iter f nil      = ()
iter f (cons x xs) = f x; iter f xs
```

Applying a pure higher-order function in an impure effect context:

```
⊢ iter (fun x → do yield (x + 42)) : 1 @ Gen Int
```

What happened? Bidirectional typing eliminates the `[]` modality of `iter` and then upcasts its empty effect context to the singleton effect context `Gen Int`.

## Absolute modalities and higher-order functions

Iteration specialised to integer lists:

```
iter : []((Int → 1) → List Int → 1)
iter f nil      = ()
iter f (cons x xs) = f x; iter f xs
```

Applying a pure higher-order function in an impure effect context:

```
⊢ iter (fun x → do yield (x + 42)) : 1 @ Gen Int
```

What happened? Bidirectional typing eliminates the `[]` modality of `iter` and then upcasts its empty effect context to the singleton effect context `Gen Int`.

Terminology:

- ▶ **Boxing** = modality introduction
- ▶ **Unboxing** = modality elimination

## Absolute modalities and higher-order functions

Iteration specialised to integer lists:

```
iter : []((Int → 1) → List Int → 1)
iter f nil      = ()
iter f (cons x xs) = f x; iter f xs
```

Applying a pure higher-order function in an impure effect context:

```
⊢ iter (fun x → do yield (x + 42)) : 1 @ Gen Int
```

What happened? Bidirectional typing eliminates the `[]` modality of `iter` and then upcasts its empty effect context to the singleton effect context `Gen Int`.

Terminology:

- ▶ **Boxing** = modality introduction
- ▶ **Unboxing** = modality elimination

In a conventional effect type system `iter` would be effect-polymorphic

```
iter : ∀ e . (Int  $\xrightarrow{e}$  1)  $\xrightarrow{e}$  List Int  $\xrightarrow{e}$  1
```

## Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

## Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

What type should `asList` have?



## Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

What type should `asList` have?

`[] ((1 → 1) → List Int) ?`

## Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

What type should `asList` have?

```
[]((1 → 1) → List Int) ?
```

Unsound as it would allow us to write:

```
mismatch : [Gen String](String → List Int)  
mismatch s = asList (fun () → do yield s)
```

## Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

What type should `asList` have?

```
[]((1 → 1) → List Int) ?
```

Unsound as it would allow us to write:

```
mismatch : [Gen String](String → List Int)  
mismatch s = asList (fun () → do yield s)
```

`String` handled as `Int`!

## Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

What type should `asList` have?

## Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

What type should `asList` have?

```
[] ([Gen Int] (1 → 1) → List a) ?
```

# Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

What type should `asList` have?

$[] ([\text{Gen Int}] (1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow \text{List } a) ?$

Sound, but consider:

$$\vdash \text{fun } \underbrace{f}_{@ \text{Gen Int}} \rightarrow \text{handle } \underbrace{f ()}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E} \text{ with asList } f : [\text{Gen Int}] \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}} \rightarrow \text{List Int } @ E$$

# Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

What type should `asList` have?

$[] ([\text{Gen Int}] (1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow \text{List } a) ?$

Sound, but consider:

$$\vdash \text{fun } \underbrace{f}_{@ \text{Gen Int}} \rightarrow \text{handle } \underbrace{f ()}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E} \text{ with } \text{asList } f : [\text{Gen Int}] \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}} \rightarrow \text{List Int } @ E$$

Restriction to `[Gen Int]` severely hinders resuability

## Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

What type should `asList` have?



## Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

What type should `asList` have?

```
[] (<Gen Int>(1 → 1) → List a)
```

The **relative modality** `<Gen Int>` **extends** the ambient effect context.

```
⊢ fun  $\underbrace{f}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E}$  → handle  $\underbrace{f ()}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E}$  with asList f : <Gen Int>(  $\underbrace{1 \rightarrow 1}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E}$  ) → List Int @ E
```

Now the effect context of `f` is `Gen Int, E`.

# Transforming the ambient context with relative modalities

Handling the `Gen Int` effect to produce a list of integers:

```
asList f =  
  handle f () with  
    return () ⇒ nil  
    yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

What type should `asList` have?

$[] \langle \text{Gen Int} \rangle (1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow \text{List } a$

The **relative modality**  $\langle \text{Gen Int} \rangle$  **extends** the ambient effect context.

$\vdash \text{fun } \underbrace{f}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E} \rightarrow \text{handle } \underbrace{f ()}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E} \text{ with } \text{asList } f : \langle \text{Gen Int} \rangle ( \underbrace{1 \rightarrow 1}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E} ) \rightarrow \text{List Int } @ E$

Now the effect context of `f` is `Gen Int, E`.

In a conventional effect type system `asList` would be effect-polymorphic

$\text{asList} : \forall e . (1 \xrightarrow{\text{Gen Int}, e} 1) \xrightarrow{e} \text{List Int}$

## Coercions between modalities

Automatic unboxing in METL allows values to be coerced between different modalities

We can extend an absolute modality:

$$\vdash \text{fun } f \rightarrow f : [\text{Gen Int}] \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}} \rightarrow [\text{Gen Int}, \text{Gen String}] \left( \underbrace{1 \rightarrow 1}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, \text{Gen String}} \right) @ E$$

## Coercions between modalities

Automatic unboxing in METL allows values to be coerced between different modalities

We can extend an absolute modality:

$$\vdash \text{fun } f \rightarrow f : [\text{Gen Int}] \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}} \rightarrow [\text{Gen Int}, \text{Gen String}] \left( \underbrace{1 \rightarrow 1}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, \text{Gen String}} \right) @ E$$

We cannot extend a relative modality in the same way:

$$\not\vdash \text{fun } f \rightarrow f : \langle \rangle \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ E} \rightarrow \langle \text{Gen Int} \rangle \left( \underbrace{1 \rightarrow 1}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E} \right) @ E \quad \# \text{ Ill-typed}$$

## Coercions between modalities

Automatic unboxing in METL allows values to be coerced between different modalities

We can extend an absolute modality:

$$\vdash \text{fun } f \rightarrow f : [\text{Gen Int}] \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}} \rightarrow [\text{Gen Int}, \text{Gen String}] \left( \underbrace{1 \rightarrow 1}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, \text{Gen String}} \right) @ E$$

We cannot extend a relative modality in the same way:

$$\not\vdash \text{fun } f \rightarrow f : \langle \rangle \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ E} \rightarrow \langle \text{Gen Int} \rangle \left( \underbrace{1 \rightarrow 1}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E} \right) @ E \quad \# \text{ Ill-typed}$$

This would insert a fresh `yield : Int → 1` operation which may shadow other `yield` operations in  $E$ , permitting bad programs like `mismatch`.

## Coercions between modalities

An absolute modality can be coerced into the corresponding relative modality.

$$\vdash \text{fun } f \rightarrow f : [\text{Gen Int}] \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}} \rightarrow \langle \text{Gen Int} \rangle \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E} @ E$$

## Coercions between modalities

An absolute modality can be coerced into the corresponding relative modality.

$$\vdash \text{fun } f \rightarrow f : [\text{Gen Int}] \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}} \rightarrow \langle \text{Gen Int} \rangle \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E} @ E$$

But the converse is not permitted

$$\not\vdash \text{fun } f \rightarrow f : \langle \text{Gen Int} \rangle \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E} \rightarrow [\text{Gen Int}] \underbrace{(1 \rightarrow 1)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}} @ E \quad \# \text{ Ill-typed}$$

as the argument may also use effects from the ambient effect context  $E$ .

# Composing handlers

State effect

```
eff State s = get : 1 → s, put : s → 1
```



# Composing handlers

## State effect

```
eff State s = get : 1 → s, put : s → 1
```

## A state handler (specialised to integer state)

```
state : [](<State Int>(1 → 1) → Int → 1)  
state m = handle m () with  
  return x ⇒ fun s → x  
  get () r ⇒ fun s → r s s  
  put s' r ⇒ fun s → r () s'
```

## Composing handlers

Using integer state to write a generator that yields the prefix sum of a list

```
prefixSum : [Gen Int, State Int](List Int → 1)
prefixSum xs = iter (fun x → do put (do get () + x); do yield (do get ())) xs
```

## Composing handlers

Using integer state to write a generator that yields the prefix sum of a list

```
prefixSum : [Gen Int, State Int](List Int → 1)
prefixSum xs = iter (fun x → do put (do get () + x); do yield (do get ())) xs
```

We can now handle the operations of `prefixSum` by composing two handlers

```
> asList (fun () → state (fun () → prefixSum [3,1,4,1,5,9]) 0)
# [3,4,8,9,14,23] : List Int
```

## Composing handlers

Using integer state to write a generator that yields the prefix sum of a list

```
prefixSum : [Gen Int, State Int](List Int → 1)
prefixSum xs = iter (fun x → do put (do get () + x); do yield (do get ())) xs
```

We can now handle the operations of `prefixSum` by composing two handlers

```
> asList (fun () → state (fun () → prefixSum [3,1,4,1,5,9]) 0)
# [3,4,8,9,14,23] : List Int
```

In a conventional effect system composing handlers requires effect polymorphism

```
asList : ∀ e . (1  $\xrightarrow{\text{Gen Int}, e}$  1)  $\xrightarrow{e}$  List Int
state : ∀ e . (1  $\xrightarrow{\text{State Int}, e}$  1)  $\xrightarrow{e}$  Int  $\xrightarrow{e}$  1
```

## Storing effectful functions

First-order cooperative concurrency effect

```
eff Coop = suspend : 1 → 1, ufork : 1 → Bool
```

## Storing effectful functions

First-order cooperative concurrency effect

```
eff Coop = suspend : 1 → 1, ufork : 1 → Bool
```

Recursive data type of cooperative processes

```
data Proc = proc (List Proc → 1)
```

```
push : [] (Proc → List Proc → List Proc)
```

```
push x xs = xs ++ cons x nil
```

```
next : [] (List Proc → 1)
```

```
next q = case q of
```

```
  nil           → ()
```

```
  cons (proc p) ps → p ps
```

## Storing effectful functions

First-order cooperative concurrency effect

```
eff Coop = suspend : 1 → 1, ufork : 1 → Bool
```

Recursive data type of cooperative processes

```
data Proc = proc (List Proc → 1)
```

```
push : [] (Proc → List Proc → List Proc)
```

```
push x xs = xs ++ cons x nil
```

```
next : [] (List Proc → 1)
```

```
next q = case q of
```

```
  nil → ()
```

```
  cons (proc p) ps → p ps
```

Scheduler parameterised by a list of suspended processes.

```
schedule : [] (<Coop>(1 → 1) → List Proc → 1)
```

```
schedule m = handle m () with
```

```
  return () ⇒ fun q → next q
```

```
  suspend () r ⇒ fun q → next (push (proc (r ())) q)
```

```
  ufork () r ⇒ fun q → r true (push (proc (r false)) q)
```

## Storing effectful functions

First-order cooperative concurrency effect

```
eff Coop = suspend : 1 → 1, ufork : 1 → Bool
```

Recursive data type of cooperative processes

```
data Proc = proc (List Proc → 1)
           next : [] (List Proc → 1)
           next q = case q of
             nil           → ()
             cons (proc p) ps → p ps

push : [] (Proc → List Proc → List Proc)
push x xs = xs ++ cons x nil
```

Scheduler parameterised by a list of suspended processes.

```
schedule : [] (<Coop>(1 → 1) → List Proc → 1)
schedule m = handle m () with
  return ()      ⇒ fun q → next q
  suspend () r   ⇒ fun q → next (push (proc (r ())) q)
  ufork () r     ⇒ fun q → r true (push (proc (r false)) q)
```

In a conventional effect system storing effectful functions requires effect polymorphism

```
data Proc e = proc (List Proc  $\xrightarrow{e}$  1)
schedule : ∀ e . (1  $\xrightarrow{\text{Coop}, e}$  1)  $\xrightarrow{e}$  List (Proc e)  $\xrightarrow{e}$  1
```



# Masking

Using a generator to find an integer satisfying a predicate

```
findWrong : []((Int → Bool) → List Int → Maybe Int) # ill-typed
findWrong p xs =
  handle (iter (fun x → if p x then do yield x) xs) with
    return _ ⇒ nothing
    yield x _ ⇒ just x
```

# Masking

Using a generator to find an integer satisfying a predicate

```
findWrong : []((Int → Bool) → List Int → Maybe Int) # ill-typed
findWrong p xs =
  handle (iter (fun x → if p x then do yield x) xs) with
    return _ ⇒ nothing
    yield x _ ⇒ just x
```

Unsound to invoke `p` in the scope of the handler — would accidentally handle any yield operations performed by `p`

```
⊢ ... handle (iter (fun x → if (p x) then do yield x) xs) with ... : _ @ E
                        @ Gen Int, E
```

# Masking

Using a generator to find an integer satisfying a predicate

```
findWrong : []((Int → Bool) → List Int → Maybe Int) # ill-typed
findWrong p xs =
  handle (iter (fun x → if p x then do yield x) xs) with
    return _ ⇒ nothing
    yield x _ ⇒ just x
```

Unsound to invoke `p` in the scope of the handler — would accidentally handle any `yield` operations performed by `p`

```
⊢ ... handle (iter (fun x → if  $\underbrace{(p\ x)}_{@ \text{Gen Int}, E}$  then do yield x) xs) with ... : _ @ E
```

Changing the type of `p` to `<Gen Int>(Int → Bool)` fixes the type error but leaks the implementation detail that `findWrong` uses `yield`

# Masking

Using a generator to find an integer satisfying a predicate

```
findWrong : []((Int → Bool) → List Int → Maybe Int) # ill-typed
findWrong p xs =
  handle (iter (fun x → if p x then do yield x) xs) with
    return _ ⇒ nothing
    yield x _ ⇒ just x
```

Unsound to invoke `p` in the scope of the handler — would accidentally handle any `yield` operations performed by `p`

```
⊢ ... handle (iter (fun x → if (p x) then do yield x) xs) with ... : _ @ E
                        @ Gen Int, E
```

Changing the type of `p` to `<Gen Int>(Int → Bool)` fixes the type error but leaks the implementation detail that `findWrong` uses `yield`

Masking solves the problem

```
⊢ ... handle (iter (fun x → if mask<yield>(p x) ... ) with ... : _ @ E
                        @ E
```

# Masking

`mask<yield>(M)` **masks** `yield` from the ambient effect context for `M`.

# Masking

`mask<yield>(M)` **masks** `yield` from the ambient effect context for `M`.

`mask<yield>(p x)` initially returns a value of type `<yield|>Bool` instead of `Bool`, where `<yield|>` is a relative modality masking `yield` from the ambient effect context.

# Masking

$\text{mask}\langle\text{yield}\rangle(M)$  **masks**  $\text{yield}$  from the ambient effect context for  $M$ .

$\text{mask}\langle\text{yield}\rangle(p\ x)$  initially returns a value of type  $\langle\text{yield}|\rangle\text{Bool}$  instead of  $\text{Bool}$ , where  $\langle\text{yield}|\rangle$  is a relative modality masking  $\text{yield}$  from the ambient effect context.

General form  $\langle L|D\rangle$  specifies a transformation on effect contexts where:

- ▶  $L$  is a row of effect labels that are removed from the effect context
- ▶  $D$  is a row of effects that are added to the effect context

# Masking

$\text{mask}\langle\text{yield}\rangle(M)$  **masks**  $\text{yield}$  from the ambient effect context for  $M$ .

$\text{mask}\langle\text{yield}\rangle(p\ x)$  initially returns a value of type  $\langle\text{yield}|\rangle\text{Bool}$  instead of  $\text{Bool}$ , where  $\langle\text{yield}|\rangle$  is a relative modality masking  $\text{yield}$  from the ambient effect context.

General form  $\langle L|D\rangle$  specifies a transformation on effect contexts where:

- ▶  $L$  is a row of effect labels that are removed from the effect context
- ▶  $D$  is a row of effects that are added to the effect context

$\langle D\rangle$  is shorthand for  $\langle |D\rangle$



# Kinds

State handler for  $1 \rightarrow 1$  computations

```
state' : [] (<State Int>(1 → (1 → 1))) → Int → (1 → 1))
```

## Kinds

State handler for  $1 \rightarrow 1$  computations

```
state' : [](<State Int>(1 → (1 → 1)) → Int → (1 → 1))
```

Unsound as this type allows effects to leak

```
state' (fun () → fun () → do put (do get () + 42)) 0 : 1 → 1
```

return clause of `state'` lets `fun () → do put (do get () + 42)` escape scope of handler

# Kinds

State handler for  $1 \rightarrow 1$  computations

```
state' : [](<State Int>(1 → (1 → 1)) → Int → (1 → 1))
```

Unsound as this type allows effects to leak

```
state' (fun () → fun () → do put (do get () + 42)) 0 : 1 → 1
```

return clause of `state'` lets `fun () → do put (do get () + 42)` escape scope of handler

Sound type signature

```
state' : [](<State Int>(1 → (1 → 1)) → Int → <State Int>(1 → 1))
```

# Kinds

State handler for  $1 \rightarrow 1$  computations

```
state' : [] (<State Int>(1 → (1 → 1)) → Int → (1 → 1))
```

Unsound as this type allows effects to leak

```
state' (fun () → fun () → do put (do get () + 42)) 0 : 1 → 1
```

return clause of `state'` lets `fun () → do put (do get () + 42)` escape scope of handler

Sound type signature

```
state' : [] (<State Int>(1 → (1 → 1)) → Int → <State Int>(1 → 1))
```

state versus state':

```
state : [] (<State Int>(1 → 1) → Int → 1)
```

```
state' : [] (<State Int>(1 → (1 → 1)) → Int → <State Int>(1 → 1))
```

# Kinds

State handler for  $1 \rightarrow 1$  computations

```
state' : [] (<State Int>(1 → (1 → 1)) → Int → (1 → 1))
```

Unsound as this type allows effects to leak

```
state' (fun () → fun () → do put (do get () + 42)) 0 : 1 → 1
```

return clause of `state'` lets `fun () → do put (do get () + 42)` escape scope of handler

Sound type signature

```
state' : [] (<State Int>(1 → (1 → 1)) → Int → <State Int>(1 → 1))
```

state VERSUS state':

```
state : [] (<State Int>(1 → 1) → Int → 1)
```

```
state' : [] (<State Int>(1 → (1 → 1)) → Int → <State Int>(1 → 1))
```

- ▶ `state` cannot leak the state effect
- ▶ `state'` can leak the state effect

## Kinds

- ▶ **Absolute types** formed only from base types and types boxed by an absolute modality cannot leak effects
- ▶ **Unrestricted types** may include functions not boxed by an absolute modality so may leak effects

## Kinds

- ▶ **Absolute types** formed only from base types and types boxed by an absolute modality cannot leak effects
- ▶ **Unrestricted types** may include functions not boxed by an absolute modality so may leak effects

Kinds:

- ▶ `Abs` classifies absolute types
- ▶ `Any` classifies unrestricted types

# Kinds

- ▶ **Absolute types** formed only from base types and types boxed by an absolute modality cannot leak effects
- ▶ **Unrestricted types** may include functions not boxed by an absolute modality so may leak effects

Kinds:

- ▶ `Abs` classifies absolute types
- ▶ `Any` classifies unrestricted types

Subkinding allows absolute types to be treated as unrestricted



## Type polymorphism

Polymorphic version of `iter`

```
iter : ∀ a . []((a → 1) → List a → 1)
iter {a} f nil          = ()
iter {a} f (cons x xs) = f x; iter {a} f xs
```

Explicit type abstractions and type applications in braces.

# Type polymorphism

Polymorphic version of `iter`

```
iter : ∀ a . []((a → 1) → List a → 1)
iter {a} f nil          = ()
iter {a} f (cons x xs) = f x; iter {a} f xs
```

Explicit type abstractions and type applications in braces.

Two possible polymorphic types for handling state

```
state  : ∀ [a] . [](<State Int>(1 → a) → Int → a)
state' : ∀ a . [](<State Int>(1 → a) → Int → <State Int>a)
```

- ▶  $\forall [a]$  ascribes kind `Abs` to `a`, allowing values of type `a` to escape the handler.
- ▶  $\forall a$  ascribes kind `Any` to `a`, not allowing values of type `a` to escape the handler.

# Type polymorphism

Polymorphic version of `iter`

```
iter :  $\forall a . []((a \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow \text{List } a \rightarrow 1)$   
iter {a} f nil = ()  
iter {a} f (cons x xs) = f x; iter {a} f xs
```

Explicit type abstractions and type applications in braces.

Two possible polymorphic types for handling state

```
state :  $\forall [a] . [](<\text{State Int}>(1 \rightarrow a) \rightarrow \text{Int} \rightarrow a)$   
state' :  $\forall a . [](<\text{State Int}>(1 \rightarrow a) \rightarrow \text{Int} \rightarrow <\text{State Int}>a)$ 
```

- ▶  $\forall [a]$  ascribes kind `Abs` to `a`, allowing values of type `a` to escape the handler.
- ▶  $\forall a$  ascribes kind `Any` to `a`, not allowing values of type `a` to escape the handler.

Using  $\eta$ -expansion we can coerce `state'` to have the type of `state`

```
 $\vdash \text{fun } \{a\} m s \rightarrow \text{state}' \{a\} m s : \forall [a] . [](<\text{State Int}>(1 \rightarrow a) \rightarrow \text{Int} \rightarrow a) @ .$ 
```

## The kind restriction on effects

Operation arguments and results are restricted to be absolute.

## The kind restriction on effects

Operation arguments and results are restricted to be absolute.

If we allowed `leak` :  $(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1$ , then we could write the following program

```
handle asList (fun () → do leak (fun () → do yield 42)) with
  return      _      ⇒ fun () ⇒ 37
  leak p _    ⇒ p
```

which leaks the `yield` operation

# Effect polymorphism

Higher-order cooperative concurrency effect

```
eff Coop = fork : [Coop](1 → 1) → 1, suspend : 1 → 1
```

But the argument type of `fork` is absolute so cannot support other effects!

# Effect polymorphism

Higher-order cooperative concurrency effect

```
eff Coop = fork : [Coop](1 → 1) → 1, suspend : 1 → 1
```

But the argument type of `fork` is absolute so cannot support other effects!

METL includes effect polymorphism to support higher-order operations like `fork`

```
eff Coop e = fork : [Coop e, e](1 → 1) → 1, suspend : 1 → 1
```

# Effect polymorphism

Higher-order cooperative concurrency effect

```
eff Coop = fork : [Coop](1 → 1) → 1, suspend : 1 → 1
```

But the argument type of `fork` is absolute so cannot support other effects!

METL includes effect polymorphism to support higher-order operations like `fork`

```
eff Coop e = fork : [Coop e, e](1 → 1) → 1, suspend : 1 → 1
```

Effect variables are **only needed** for use-cases such as higher-order effects where a computation must be stored for use in an effect context different from the ambient one.



## In the paper

Modal effect types — <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.11816>

MET

- ▶ simply-typed multimodal core calculus with effects
- ▶ type system, operational semantics, type soundness, effect safety
- ▶ extensions: sums and products (crisp elimination), type and effect polymorphism

$F_{\text{eff}}^1$

- ▶ restricted core calculus of polymorphic effect types
- ▶ restriction: each scope can only refer to the lexically closest effect variables
- ▶ encoding of  $F_{\text{eff}}^1$  in MET

METL: simple bidirectional type checking for MET

- ▶ infers all introduction and elimination of modalities
- ▶ analogous to generalisation and instantiation