# Parameterized algebraic theories and applications

Cristina Matache

University of Edinburgh

#### Introduction: algebraic effects

Side effects (e.g. I/O, state, nondeterminism) in functional languages can be modelled using:

- ▶ monads [Moggi'91] E.g. monads in Haskell;
- ► or using algebraic theories [Plotkin&Power]:
  - operations (e.g. reading and writing to memory) produce the effects;
  - program equations specify the behaviour of operations.

There is a correspondence between strong monads and algebraic theories.

## Introduction: algebraic effects

Some effects do not fit into this algebraic framework.

Examples: dynamically allocating new memory locations, exception catching, continuations, normalizing a probability distribution, measuring qubits etc.

The following frameworks capture some of these effects:

- ► Scoped effects [Wu et. al.'14].
- ► Parameterized algebraic theories [Staton'13].

#### Contributions

- ► Scoped effects as parameterized theories [Lindley, Matache, Moss, Staton, Wu, Yang ESOP'24].
- ▶ Work in progress towards a parameterized theory of Unix fork.

#### Outline

- 1 Algebraic effects and algebraic theories
- 2 Parameterized algebraic theories
- 3 Scoped effects
- 4 Scoped effects as parameterized algebraic theories
- 5 A parameterized theory of threads (work in progress)

#### Example: explicit nondeterminism (backtracking) [Plotkin&Pretnar'09, '13]

Operations

Equations

$$or(x,y)$$
 choice

failure fail

$$x, y, z \vdash \mathsf{or}(x, \mathsf{or}(y, z)) = \mathsf{or}(\mathsf{or}(x, y), z)$$
  
 $x \vdash \mathsf{or}(\mathsf{fail}, x) = \mathsf{or}(x, \mathsf{fail}) = x$ 

In direct style:

$$\underline{\mathsf{or}} : \mathsf{unit} \to \mathsf{bool}$$

bool = arity unit = coarity

fail: unit  $\rightarrow 0$ 

Translation between direct style and algebraic operations:

$$or(x, y) = if or() then x else y$$

$$\underline{\mathsf{or}}() = \mathsf{or}(\mathsf{true}, \mathsf{false})$$

## Example: explicit nondeterminism (backtracking) [Plotkin&Pretnar'09, '13]

Operations

Equations

$$or(x,y)$$
 choice fail failure

$$x, y, z \vdash \operatorname{or}(x, \operatorname{or}(y, z)) = \operatorname{or}(\operatorname{or}(x, y), z)$$
  
 $x \vdash \operatorname{or}(\operatorname{fail}, x) = \operatorname{or}(x, \operatorname{fail}) = x$ 

**Model** = a set (carrier) + interpretations for the operations.

Fix a set A. The intended model for the theory of nondeterminism is:

- ightharpoonup Carrier: the set List(A)
- ► Operations:

#### Example: one-bit state

#### Operations

$$\operatorname{put}(i; x), i \in 2 = \{0, 1\}$$
 writing  $\operatorname{get}(x_0, x_1)$  reading

#### In direct style:

 $\underline{\mathsf{put}} : \mathsf{bool} \to \mathsf{unit}$  $\underline{\mathsf{get}} : \mathsf{unit} \to \mathsf{bool}$ 

#### Equations

$$x_0, x_1 \vdash \mathsf{put}(i; \, \mathsf{get}(x_0, x_1)) = \mathsf{put}(i; \, x_i)$$
 
$$x \vdash \mathsf{put}(i; \, \mathsf{put}(i'; \, x)) = \mathsf{put}(i'; \, x)$$
 
$$x \vdash \mathsf{get}(\mathsf{put}(0; \, x), \mathsf{put}(1; \, x)) = x$$

# Example: one-bit state

Operations Equations  $put(i; x), i \in 2 = \{0, 1\}$  writing  $x_0, x_1 \vdash \mathsf{put}(i; \mathsf{get}(x_0, x_1)) = \mathsf{put}(i; x_i)$ reading  $x \vdash \mathsf{put}(i; \, \mathsf{put}(i'; \, x)) = \mathsf{put}(i'; \, x)$  $get(x_0, x_1)$  $x \vdash \mathsf{get}(\mathsf{put}(0; x), \mathsf{put}(1; x)) = x$ 

Fix a set A. The intended **model** for the algebraic theory of state is:

- ightharpoonup Carrier:  $(A imes 2)^2$
- ► Operations:

put 
$$]: 2 \times (A \times 2)^2 \rightarrow (A \times 2)^2$$

$$[\operatorname{put}](i \mid f) = \lambda h \mid f(i)$$

$$[\![\mathsf{put}]\!](i,f) = \lambda b.\, f(i)$$

$$= \lambda b. f(i)$$

$$\int (f_0 b) \quad \text{if } b = 0$$

## Algebraic theories

- ▶ Algebraic theories provide an **equational reasoning system** for algebraic effects, with semantic **models**, such that equality in the theory is **sound and complete**.
- ▶ List(A) and  $(A \times 2)^2$  are free models on the set A.
- ▶ List and  $(- \times 2)^2$  extend to strong monads on Set. Used for implementation and denotational semantics.
- ► Correspondence between algebraic theories and finitary (strong) monads on Set, via the free model construction.

#### Outline

- 1 Algebraic effects and algebraic theories
- 2 Parameterized algebraic theories
- 3 Scoped effects
- 4 Scoped effects as parameterized algebraic theories
- 5 A parameterized theory of threads (work in progress)

#### Parameterized theory example: local state

Local state = dynamically creating memory locations that store one bit Parameters = location names  $\operatorname{put}(\boldsymbol{a},i;x)$  write value  $i \in \{0,1\}$  to location  $\boldsymbol{a}$ , continue as x; *a* is a free parameter read the bit stored in location a and continue as  $get(a; x_0, x_1)$ either  $x_0$  or  $x_1$ : a is a free parameter  $new(i; \mathbf{a}.x(\mathbf{a}))$  create a new location  $\mathbf{a}$ , containing  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ 

+ operation for equality testing and equations [Staton LICS'13]

a is a fresh parameter, bound

## Parameterized theory example: local state

Parameters = location names

 $\mathbb{P}$  = **abstract** type of parameters

In direct style:

```
\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{put}({\color{red}a},i;\,x) & \quad \operatorname{\underline{put}}: \mathbb{P} \times \operatorname{bool} \to \operatorname{unit} \\ \\ \operatorname{get}({\color{red}a};\,x_0,\,x_1) & \quad \operatorname{\underline{get}}: \mathbb{P} \to \operatorname{bool} \\ \\ \operatorname{new}(i;\,{\color{red}a}.x({\color{red}a})) & \quad \operatorname{\underline{new}}: \operatorname{bool} \to \mathbb{P} & \quad \mathbb{P} = \operatorname{arity} & \operatorname{bool} = \operatorname{coarity} \end{array}
```

For algebraic theories, arities and coarities are sums of unit. Now we allow sums and products with  $\mathbb{P}$ .

#### Parameterized algebraic theories [Staton FOSSACS'13, LICS'13]

- ▶ Uniform framework for axiomatizing local effects.
- ► Extend plain algebraic theories with **binding**.
- ► Provide an equational reasoning system, sound and complete with respect to models, and have a correspondence with strong monads.
- ightharpoonup A model has carrier X from Set<sup>Fin</sup>, where:
  - Fin = natural numbers and functions between them
  - $n \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathsf{Fin})$  = a typing context with n parameters
  - $\llbracket \mathbb{P} \rrbracket = \mathsf{Fin}(1, -)$

and interpretations for operations:

E.g. 
$$[put]: X \to X^{[p] \times 2}$$

$$\llbracket \mathsf{get} \rrbracket : X^2 \to X^{\llbracket \mathbb{P} \rrbracket}$$

#### Parameterized algebraic theories [Staton FOSSACS'13, LICS'13, POPL'15]

Example	Parameters	Models in
name generation	names	
local state	location names	Set <sup>Fin</sup>
$\pi$ -calculus (fragment)	communication channels	Set
first-order logic	individuals	
quantum computation	qubits (linear)	Set <sup>Bij</sup>
scoped effects [ESOP'24]	scopes (ordered, linear)	$Set^{ \mathbb{N} }$
Unix fork (work in progess)	thread IDs	Set <sup>Fin</sup>

For each of these functor categories: parameterized theories correspond to sifted-colimit-preserving strong monads. [Lack & Rosicky'11, Notions of Lawvere theories]

#### Outline

- 1 Algebraic effects and algebraic theories
- Parameterized algebraic theories
- 3 Scoped effects
- 4 Scoped effects as parameterized algebraic theories
- 5 A parameterized theory of threads (work in progress)

#### Example: explicit nondeterminism with once [Wu et. al.'14]

#### Operations:

```
egin{array}{lll} {
m or}(x,y) & {
m choice} \\ {
m fail} & {
m failure} \\ {
m once}(x) & {
m choose the first non-failing result of } x \end{array}
```

The **free model** uses the List monad. For a set A:

We want the interpretation of once to be:

$$\llbracket \mathsf{once} \rrbracket : \mathsf{List}(A) \to \mathsf{List}(A) \qquad \llbracket \mathsf{once} \rrbracket ([a, \dots]) = [a] \qquad \llbracket \mathsf{once} \rrbracket ([]) = []$$

# Algebraicity

Operations [op] in the free model of a theory behave well with respect to the structure of the induced monad T:

$$[\![\mathsf{op}]\!]: TA \to TA \qquad \Longrightarrow : TA \times (A \Rightarrow TB) \to TB$$
 
$$\big([\![\mathsf{op}]\!](x) \ggg \lambda a.\,y\big) = [\![\mathsf{op}]\!](x \ggg \lambda a.\,y)$$

Example:

# Algebraicity

Operations [op] in the free model of a theory behave well with respect to the structure of the induced monad T:

$$[\![\mathsf{op}]\!]:TA\to TA \qquad >\!\!\!>=:TA\times(A\Rightarrow TB)\to TB$$
 
$$\left([\![\mathsf{op}]\!](x)>\!\!\!>=\lambda a.\,y\right)=[\![\mathsf{op}]\!](x>\!\!\!>=\lambda a.\,y)$$

Example in direct style:

$$or : unit \rightarrow bool$$

if 
$$\underline{\operatorname{or}}()$$
 then  $f(); h()$  else  $g(); h() = (if \underline{\operatorname{or}}() \text{ then } f() \text{ else } g()); h()$ 

## Algebraicity fails for once

[once]: List $(A) \to List(A)$  is **not algebraic** with respect to the List monad. Example:

- ▶ Intuition: the scope of once is delimited; once is a scoped effect
- ► Scoped effect = a monad on Set with non-algebraic operations [Wu et. al.'14, Yang & Wu'23].
- ▶ Do (once, or, fail) + equations present a monad?

#### Scoped effects: background

- ▶ Other examples: exception catching, state with local variables.
- ► Scoped effects implemented as **effect handlers** [Plotkin&Pretnar'09, '13]. Not guaranteed to satisfy equations.
- ➤ Treating scoped effects as operations: work on free monads from signatures and extending effect handlers to handle scoped operations. [Wu et. al.'14], [Piróg et.al. LICS'18], [Yang et.al. ESOP'22]

Our contribution [Lindley, Matache, Moss, Staton, Wu, Yang ESOP'24]

Finding a notion of algebraic theory to axiomatize scoped effects.

#### Outline

- 1 Algebraic effects and algebraic theories
- Parameterized algebraic theories
- 3 Scoped effects
- Scoped effects as parameterized algebraic theories
- 5 A parameterized theory of threads (work in progress)

# Scoped effects as parameterized algebraic theories

Parameters = names of scopes

```
A scoped effect = a theory with ordered, linear parameters
```

```
Example: explicit nondeterminism with once \operatorname{or}(x,y) choice fail failure
```

```
once(a.x(a)) open a new scope named a, continue as x(a); a is bound close(a; y) close the scope a, y cannot use a anymore; a is free
```

Closing a scope becomes an explicit operation. We write:

```
once(a.or(close(a; 1), close(a; 3))) instead of once(or(1, 3))
```

## **Equations for explicit nondeterminism with once**

Explicit nondeterminism

$$\operatorname{or}(x,\operatorname{or}(y,z)) = \operatorname{or}(\operatorname{or}(x,y),z)$$
  
 $\operatorname{or}(\operatorname{fail},x) = \operatorname{or}(x,\operatorname{fail}) = x$ 

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{once}(\pmb{a}.\mathsf{fail}) = \mathsf{fail} \\ &\mathsf{once}(\pmb{a}.\mathsf{or}(x(\pmb{a}),\,x(\pmb{a}))) = \mathsf{once}(\pmb{a}.x(\pmb{a})) \\ &\mathsf{once}\big(\pmb{a}.\mathsf{or}(\mathsf{close}(\pmb{a};\,x),\,y(\pmb{a}))\big) = x \end{split}$$

We can derive:

$$once(a.close(a; x)) = x$$

We can prove using the equations:

$$once(a.or(close(a; or(1, 2)), close(a; or(3, 4))) = or(1, 2)$$

## Models for explicit nondeterminism with once

**Model** = an object from Set $^{|\mathbb{N}|}$  (carrier) + interpretations for the operations.

The **free model** on  $A = (A_0, \emptyset, \emptyset, \dots) \in \mathsf{Set}^{|\mathbb{N}|}$  has:

- ▶ Carrier: the sequence  $TA(n) = \mathsf{List}^{n+1}(A_0)$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- ► Operations:

Recall:  $\operatorname{once}(\mathbf{a}.x(\mathbf{a}))$   $\operatorname{close}(\mathbf{a};y)$   $\operatorname{or}(x,y)$  fail

# Scoped effects as parameterized algebraic theories

#### Theorem [ESOP'24]

The **free model** on  $A = (A_0, \emptyset, \emptyset, \dots) \in \mathsf{Set}^{|\mathbb{N}|}$  (from previous slide) is the model for nondeterminism with once in [Piróg et.al. LICS'18].

- ► The model from [Piróg et.al. LICS'18] is a monad algebra, for a monad obtained from a signature of operations, with no equations.
- ► Therefore, we have an equational characterization of a model from the scoped effects literature.
- ► We have analogous results for exception catching, state with local variables, and backtracking with cut.
- ▶ Parameterized theories can axiomatize scoped effects.

#### Outline

- 1 Algebraic effects and algebraic theories
- 2 Parameterized algebraic theories
- 3 Scoped effects
- 4 Scoped effects as parameterized algebraic theories
- 5 A parameterized theory of threads (work in progress)

# Applications of parameterized theories

Example	Parameters	Models in
name generation	names	
local state	location names	Set <sup>Fin</sup>
$\pi$ -calculus (fragment)	communication channels	Set
first-order logic	individuals	
quantum computation	qubits (linear)	Set <sup>Bij</sup>
scoped effects [ESOP'24]	scopes (ordered, linear)	$Set^{ \mathbb{N} }$
Unix fork (work in progess)	thread IDs	Set <sup>Fin</sup>

I'll discuss the example of forking threads. Parameters are unconstrained.

# Parameterized theory of threads with names

Parameters = thread IDs = 
$$\mathbb{P}$$

```
Operations:
```

```
fork(a.x(a), y)  x = parent thread; might use <math>a
```

 $y = \text{child thread; cannot use } \boldsymbol{a}$ 

a = ID of child; bound name

wait(a; x) wait for the thread named a to finish, continue as x

stop this thread has finished (no continuation)

# (Tentative) Equations for the parameterized theory of threads

Children that stop immediately:

$$x:0 \mid -\vdash \mathsf{fork}(\mathbf{a}.x, \mathsf{stop}) = x$$
  $x:0 \mid -\vdash \mathsf{fork}(\mathbf{a}.\mathsf{wait}(\mathbf{a}; x), \mathsf{stop}) = x$ 

Forking and waiting commute:

$$x:2,y:1\mid \textbf{\textit{b}} \vdash \mathsf{fork}(\textbf{\textit{a}}.\mathsf{wait}(\textbf{\textit{b}};\ x(\textbf{\textit{b}},\textbf{\textit{a}})),\ \mathsf{wait}(\textbf{\textit{b}};\ y(\textbf{\textit{b}}))) = \mathsf{wait}(\textbf{\textit{b}};\ \mathsf{fork}(\textbf{\textit{a}}.x(\textbf{\textit{b}},\textbf{\textit{a}}),\ y(\textbf{\textit{b}})))$$

Waiting is idempotent and commutative:

```
\begin{split} x:1 \mid \pmb{a} \vdash \mathsf{wait}(\pmb{a}; \ \mathsf{wait}(\pmb{a}; \ x(\pmb{a}))) &= \mathsf{wait}(\pmb{a}; \ x(\pmb{a})) \\ x:2 \mid \pmb{a}, \pmb{b} \vdash \mathsf{wait}(\pmb{a}; \ \mathsf{wait}(\pmb{b}; \ x(\pmb{a}, \pmb{b}))) &= \mathsf{wait}(\pmb{b}; \ \mathsf{wait}(\pmb{a}; \ x(\pmb{a}, \pmb{b}))) \end{split}
```

## (Tentative) Equations for the parameterized theory of threads

Forking is commutative and associative:

$$x:2,y_1,y_2:0\mid -\vdash \mathsf{fork}(\textcolor{red}{a}.\mathsf{fork}(\textcolor{red}{b}.x(\textcolor{red}{a},\textcolor{red}{b}),\ y_2),\ y_1) = \mathsf{fork}(\textcolor{red}{b}.\mathsf{fork}(\textcolor{red}{a}.x(\textcolor{red}{a},\textcolor{red}{b}),\ y_1),\ y_2) \\ x,y:1,z:0\mid -\vdash \mathsf{fork}(\textcolor{red}{a}.x(\textcolor{red}{a}),\ \mathsf{fork}(\textcolor{red}{b}.y(\textcolor{red}{b}),\ z)) = \mathsf{fork}(\textcolor{red}{b}.\mathsf{fork}(\textcolor{red}{a}.x(\textcolor{red}{a}),\ y(\textcolor{red}{b})),\ z)$$

The parent might stop before its children:

$$y:0 \mid - \vdash \mathsf{fork}(\boldsymbol{a}.\mathsf{stop},\ y) \neq y$$

But:

$$y: 0 \mid - \vdash \mathsf{fork}(\mathbf{a}.\mathsf{wait}(\mathbf{a}; \mathsf{stop}), y) = y$$

## Parameterized theory of threads – Work in progress

- ► Find a nice description of the free model for this theory (without quotienting by equations), and hence of a monad.
- ► Compare the equations with an operational semantics for threads. Change the equations if needed.

#### Goal

A sound and adequate denotational semantics for Unix threads, using a monad.

## Summary and future work

#### Summary:

- ▶ Parameterized algebraic theories extend algebraic theories with more arities (formed from an abstract type ℙ), and with binding.
- ▶ They can be used to reason equationally about:
  - scoped effects (operations that are not "algebraic")
  - forking threads (work in progress)

#### Future work:

- ► Effect handlers for parameterized operations
- ► For scoped effects
  - Axiomatize more examples
  - Programming in direct style