

Modal Effect Types

Wenhao Tang

The University of Edinburgh

EHOP Workshop, 18th Aug 2025

(Joint work with Leo White, Stephen Dolan, Daniel Hillerström, Sam Lindley, Anton Lorenzen)

A Recap of Traditional Effect Types

a function of type $A \rightarrow \{E\} B$ may perform effects E when applied

Pure Functions

A first-order pure function

```
inc : Int → {} Int  
inc x = x + 1
```

A higher-order pure function

```
app1 : (Int → {} 1) * Int → {} 1  
app1 (f, x) = f x
```

Effects

An effect with one operation

```
effect Gen = yield : Int ⇒ 1
```

A first-order effectful function

```
gen : Int →{Gen} 1  
gen x = do yield x
```

A higher-order effectful function

```
app2 : (Int →{Gen} 1) * Int →{Gen} 1  
app2 (f, x) = f x
```

More Effects

Another effect

```
effect Reader = ask : 1 ⇒ Int
```

Another first-order effectful function

```
askAndGen : 1 → {Reader, Gen} 1  
askAndGen () = do gen (do ask ())
```

Another higher-order effectful function

```
app3 : (Int → {Reader} 1) * Int → {Reader} 1  
app3 (f, x) = f x
```

Effect Polymorphism

We have three application functions so far

```
app1 : (Int →{} 1) * Int →{} 1
app2 : (Int →{Gen} 1) * Int →{Gen} 1
app3 : (Int →{Reader} 1) * Int →{Reader} 1
```

Abstracting them by an **effect variable** `e`

```
app : ∀ e . (Int →{e} 1) * Int →{e} 1
```

Effect-polymorphic versions of `inc`, `gen`, and `askAndGen`

```
inc      : ∀ e . Int →{e} Int
gen      : ∀ e . Int →{Gen, e} 1
askAndGen : ∀ e . 1 →{Reader, Gen, e} 1
```

Handlers

A handler for the `Gen` effect

```
asList : ∀ e . (1 → {Gen, e} 1) → {e} List Int
asList f = handle f () with
  return () ⇒ nil
  yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

Running

```
>>> asList (fun () → gen 42; gen 37) -- recall that gen x = do yield x
[42, 37] : List Int
```

Composing Handlers

A handler for the `Reader` effect

```
answer : ∀ e . (1 → {Reader, e} 1) → {e} 1
answer f = handle f () with
  return x ⇒ x
  ask () r ⇒ r 42
```

Compose the two handlers to handle `askAndGen`

```
>>> asList (fun () → answer askAndGen) -- recall that askAndGen () = do gen (do ask ())
[42] : List Int
```

Modal Effect Types in Page

decoupling effect annotations from function arrows

Traditional effect types

Effects **entangled** with function arrows

First-order functions

```
inc      :  $\forall e$  .  $\text{Int} \rightarrow \{e\}$        $\text{Int}$   
gen      :  $\forall e$  .  $\text{Int} \rightarrow \{\text{Gen}, e\}$    $1$   
askAndGen :  $\forall e$  .  $1 \rightarrow \{\text{Reader}, \text{Gen}, e\}$   $1$ 
```

Higher-order functions

```
app :  $\forall e$  .  $(\text{Int} \rightarrow \{e\} 1) * \text{Int} \rightarrow \{e\} 1$   
map :  $\forall e$  .  $(A \rightarrow \{e\} B) * \text{List } A \rightarrow \{e\} \text{List } B$ 
```

Handlers

```
asList :  $\forall e$  .  $(1 \rightarrow \{\text{Gen}, e\} 1) \rightarrow \{e\} \text{List } \text{Int}$ 
```

Modal effect types

Decouple effects from arrows via modalities

Use `[E]` to specify the effects being used

```
inc      : []( $\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$ )  
gen      : [Gen]( $\text{Int} \rightarrow 1$ )  
askAndGen : [Reader, Gen]( $1 \rightarrow 1$ )
```

As `app` itself is pure, we use `[]`

```
app : [](( $\text{Int} \rightarrow 1$ ) *  $\text{Int} \rightarrow 1$ )  
map : [](( $A \rightarrow B$ ) *  $\text{List } A \rightarrow \text{List } B$ )
```

Use `<E>` to specify the effects being handled

```
asList : [](<Gen>( $1 \rightarrow 1$ )  $\rightarrow \text{List } \text{Int}$ )
```

Inspired by **Frank** which is based on an elaboration from (almost) the right to the left

Sam Lindley, Conor McBride, and Craig McLaughlin. Do be do be do. POPL 2017.

and **Effekt** which distinguishes between first-class and second-class functions and adopts a capability-passing translation

Jonathan Immanuel Brachthäuser, Philipp Schuster, and Klaus Ostermann. Effects as capabilities: effect handlers and lightweight effect polymorphism. OOPSLA 2020.

Modal effect types (**MET**) have a completely new foundation, multimodal type theory (Gratzer et al. 2020), and smoothly support first-class functions


-- Traditional effect types

```
inc      :  $\forall e. \text{Int} \rightarrow \{e\} \quad \text{Int}$   
gen      :  $\forall e. \text{Int} \rightarrow \{\text{Gen}, e\} \quad 1$   
askAndGen :  $\forall e. 1 \rightarrow \{\text{Reader}, \text{Gen}, e\} \quad 1$   
app      :  $\forall e. (\text{Int} \rightarrow \{e\} \quad 1) * \text{Int} \rightarrow \{e\} \quad 1$ 
```

-- Modal effect types

```
inc      :  $[](\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int})$   
gen      :  $[\text{Gen}](\text{Int} \rightarrow 1)$   
askAndGen :  $[\text{Reader}, \text{Gen}](1 \rightarrow 1)$   
app      :  $[]((\text{Int} \rightarrow 1) * \text{Int} \rightarrow 1)$ 
```

Diving into Modal Effect Types

modes, modalities, locks  , and keys 

Effect Contexts (Modes)

Effect contexts track effects provided by the context

```
├ fun x . do yield x : Int → 1 @ Gen
-- ~~~~~
-- term                type                effect context
-- @ Gen               @ Gen
```

Variables in the context also share the ambient effect context

```
f : Int → 1 ─├ fun x . f x : Int → 1 @ Gen
-- ~~~~~
-- @ Gen          @ Gen          @ Gen
```

Subeffecting happens naturally

```
├ fun x . do yield x : Int → 1 @ Gen, Reader
```

Modalities

An **absolute modality** $[E]$ changes the ambient effect context to E ($[E](F) = E$)

```
-- modality introduction introduces a lock           [Gen] changes F to Gen
-- ~~~~~
-- _[Gen]   ⊢ fun x . do yield x : Int → 1 @ Gen
--
-- -----
-- ⊢ mod_[Gen] (fun x . do yield x) : [Gen](Int → 1) @ F
-- ~~~~~
-- modality introduction
```

A **relative modality** $\langle E \rangle$ adds effects E to the ambient effect context ($\langle E \rangle(F) = E, F$)

```
-- modality introduction introduces a lock           <Gen> changes Reader to Gen, Reader
-- ~~~~~
-- _<Gen>   ⊢ fun x . do yield (do ask ()) : Int → 1 @ Gen, Reader
--
-- -----
-- ⊢ mod_<Gen> (fun x . do yield (do ask ())) : <Gen>(Int → 1) @ Reader
```


Locks

Locks control the accessibility of variables

An invalid judgement

```
✗ f : Int → 1 ⊢ mod_[Gen] (fun x . f x) : [Gen](Int → 1) @ Reader
-- ~~~~~
-- @ Reader                               @ Gen
```

Its premise does not hold

```
✗ f : Int → 1,  _[Gen] ⊢ fun x . f x : Int → 1 @ Gen
-- ~~~~~
-- @ Reader      disallows f to be used
--              changes Reader to Gen (reading from right to left)
```

Modality Elimination

We can make the premise well-typed by annotating the binding of `f` with `[]`

```
f :_[] Int → 1, 🔒 _[Gen] ⊢ fun x . f x : Int → 1 @ Gen
--
--      ~~~~~
--      @ . (because [] changes Reader to .)
--
--      ~~~~~
--      @ Reader
```

Such a binding is introduced by **modality elimination** (the default annotation is `◇`)

```
f :_[] Int → 1 ⊢ M : A @ E
-----
⊢ let mod_[] f = mod_[] (fun x → ()) in M : A @ E
--
--      ~~~~~
--      let-style modality elimination
```

Modality elimination can be inferred in practice

Modality Transformations

How does typing decide that $f :_[] \text{ Int} \rightarrow 1$ can be used after $_[\text{Gen}]$?

```
--                               ☆ a modality transformation, the key to  $\_[\text{Gen}]$ 
--                               ~~~~~
f :\_[] Int → 1,  $\_[\text{Gen}] \vdash \text{fun } x . f \ x : \text{Int} \rightarrow 1 @ \text{Gen}$        $[] \Rightarrow [\text{Gen}] @ \text{Reader}$ 
-----
f :\_[] Int → 1  $\vdash \text{mod\_}[\text{Gen}] (\text{fun } x . f \ x) : [\text{Gen}](\text{Int} \rightarrow 1) @ \text{Reader}$ 
```

A **modality transformation** $\mu \Rightarrow v @ E$ is the key to unlock $_v$ for variable bindings of form $f :_ \mu A$

Soundness: $\mu \Rightarrow v @ E$ must guarantee that $\mu(F) \leq v(F)$ for all $E \leq F$

$[] \Rightarrow [\text{Gen}] @ \text{Reader}$ obviously satisfies the soundness condition

Handlers


Back to the `asList` handler

```
asList : [](<Gen>(1 → 1) → List Int)
asList f = handle f () with return () ⇒ nil | yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

It is elaborated to

```
asList : [](<Gen>(1 → 1) → List Int)
asList = mod_[] (fun f → let mod_<Gen> f' = f in handle f' () with return () ⇒ nil | yield x r ⇒ cons x
--      ~~~~~
--      introduction      elimination
```

A handler also introduces a lock with a relative modality

```
--      introduced by the handler which handles Gen
--      ~~~~~
f' : _<Gen> 1 → 1,  _<Gen> ⊢ f' () : 1 @ Gen, E      <Gen> ⇒ <Gen> @ E      ...
```

Modality Transformation Rules

Suppose our effect contexts are **scoped rows**, i.e.,

- Duplicated effects are allowed
- Reordering is allowed except for identical effects

We have the following rules for modality transformations

1. $[E1] \Rightarrow [E2] @ E3$ if $E1 \leq E2$
2. $[E1] \Rightarrow \langle E2 \rangle @ E3$ if $E1 \leq E2, E3$
3. $\langle E1 \rangle \Rightarrow \langle E2 \rangle @ E3$ if $E1 = E2$
4. $\langle E1 \rangle \Rightarrow [E2] @ E3$ impossible

Rule 1

$[E1] \Rightarrow [E2] @ E3$ if $E1 \leq E2$

If some term only uses effects $E1$, we can use it at a larger effect context

```
f : _[] 1 → 1, 🔒 _[Gen] ⊢ f () : 1 @ Gen -- [] ⇒ [Gen] @ E
--
--      ~~~~~
--      @ .                      we have . ≤ Gen regardless of E
-- ~~~~~
-- @ E
```

Otherwise we may leak effects

Rule 2

$[E1] \Rightarrow \langle E2 \rangle @ E3$ if $E1 \leq E2, E3$

If some term only uses effects $E1$, we can use it at a larger effect context

```
f : _[Reader] 1 → 1,  _<Gen> ⊢ f () : 1 @ Gen, Reader, E -- [Reader] ⇒ <Gen> @ Reader
--
--           ~~~~~
--           @ Reader                                we have Reader ≤ Gen, Reader,
-- ~~~~~
-- @ Reader, E
```

Otherwise we may leak effects

Rule 4

$\langle E1 \rangle \Rightarrow [E2] @ E3$ impossible

If a term uses $E1$ plus the ambient effects $E3$, we can never use it at some fixed $E2$

Because $E3$ can be arbitrarily upcasted

```
f : _<Gen> 1 → 1,   🔒 _[Gen] ⊢ f () : 1 @ Gen  -- ✗ <Gen> ⇒ [Gen] @ E
--
--           ~~~~~
--           @ Gen, E
-- ~~~~~
-- @ E
```

we do not have $\text{Gen}, E \leq E$ for any E

In terms of traditional effect types, we want to upcast $1 \rightarrow \{\text{Gen}, e\} 1$ (where e is from the typing context) to $\forall e' . 1 \rightarrow \{\text{Gen}, e'\} 1$

Rule 3

$\langle E1 \rangle \Rightarrow \langle E2 \rangle @ E3$ if $E1 = E2$

$f : _ \langle \text{Gen} \rangle 1 \rightarrow 1, \text{lock} _ \langle \text{Gen} \rangle \vdash f () : 1 @ \text{Gen}, E \quad \text{-- } \langle \text{Gen} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{Gen} \rangle @ E$

But what's wrong with $\diamond \Rightarrow \langle \text{Gen} \rangle$? **Accidental handling**

Otherwise, we could give the following type to `asList`

```
asList : []((1 → 1) → List Int)
asList f = handle f () with return () ⇒ nil | yield x r ⇒ cons x (r ())
```

This type does not reflect the fact that `Gen` used by the argument is handled

With parameterised effects, e.g., having both `Gen Int`, `Gen Bool`, this could lead to a crash

Masking

`[]((1 → 1) → List Int)` is a bad type for `asList`

But sometimes we do want to conceal the internal implementation

```
--      <Gen> is required for well-typedness but leaks implementation details
--      ~~~~~
find' : <Gen>(Int → Bool) → List Int → Maybe Int
find' p xs = handle (iterate (fun x → if p x then do yield x else ()) xs) with
  return _    ⇒ nothing,
  yield x _   ⇒ just x
```

Solution: mask the `Gen` effect for `p x`


```
find : (Int → Bool) → List Int → Maybe Int
find p xs = handle (iterate (fun x → if mask<Gen>(p x) then do yield x else ()) xs) with
--
--      ~~~~~
--      mask removes Gen from the effect context
```

The Masking Modality

In addition to $\langle E \rangle$, we also have the **masking modality** $\langle E \rangle$.

In fact, relative modalities have the general form $\langle E1 \mid E2 \rangle$

Relative modalities act on effect contexts as $\langle E1 \mid E2 \rangle (E) = E2 + (E - E1)$

A `mask<E>` introduces a lock  `_<E>`

```

--                                     does not change E
--   @ E                               <Gen>◦<Gen>▷ = ◇                               @ E
--   ~~~~~                             ~~~~~
p : Int → Bool, x : Int, 🔒_<Gen>, 🔒_<Gen>▷ ⊢ p x : Bool @ E
-----
p : Int → Bool, x : Int, 🔒_<Gen> ⊢                                         mask<Gen>(p x) : Bool @ Gen, E
--   ~~~~~                             ~~~~~
--   @ E                               changes E to Gen, E                               @ Gen, E

```

More Examples

to show the ergonomics of modal effect types

Cooperative Concurrency

Smoothly store effectful functions into datatypes

```
effect Coop = ufork : 1  $\Rightarrow$  Bool | suspend : 1  $\Rightarrow$  1

data Proc = proc (List Proc  $\rightarrow$  1)

push      : [](Proc  $\rightarrow$  List Proc  $\rightarrow$  List Proc) -- push a process into a queue
next      : [](List Proc  $\rightarrow$  1)                -- run the first process in the queue
schedule  : [](<Coop>(1  $\rightarrow$  1)  $\rightarrow$  List Proc  $\rightarrow$  1)
schedule m = handle m () with
  return ()  $\Rightarrow$  fun q  $\rightarrow$  next q,
  suspend () r  $\Rightarrow$  fun q  $\rightarrow$  next (push (proc (r ())) q),
  ufork () r  $\Rightarrow$  fun q  $\rightarrow$  r true (push (proc (r false)) q)
```

In contrast, with traditional effect types we have to deal with effect variables

```
data Proc e = proc (List Proc  $\rightarrow$  {e} 1)
push :  $\forall$  e . Proc  $\rightarrow$  {e} List Proc  $\rightarrow$  {e} List Proc
```

Re-generating

Pre-process all generated numbers with a function.

```
--          used by regen          handled by regen
--          ~~~~~
regen : [Gen]((Int → Int) → <Gen>(1 → 1) → 1)
regen f m = handle m () with
  return () ⇒ ()
  yield s r ⇒ do yield (f s); r ()
--
--          ~~~~~
--          the handler itself uses yield, thus we have [Gen] instead of [] for the whole
```

In contrast, with traditional effect types we have

```
--
--
--          one handled, one used
--          ~~~~~
regen : ∀ e . (Int → {Gen, e} Int) → {} (1 → {Gen, Gen, e} 1) → {Gen, e} 1
```

More Features

parametric polymorphism, kinds

Parametric Polymorphism

Completely unsurprising.

Polymorphic `app`

```
app : ∀ a b . []((a → b) * a → b)
```

More higher-order functions

```
map      : ∀ a b . []((a → b) → List a → List b)  
iterate : ∀ a . []((a → ()) → List a → ())
```

and handlers

```
answer : ∀ [a] . [](<Reader>(1 → a) → a)
```

Hang on, what is `∀ [a]` ???

Kinds

$\forall [a]$ is a shorthand for $\forall a:\text{Abs}$

We distinguish types independent of the ambient effect context from others

- **Absolute types** (kind `Abs`)

built from base types, positive types, and types boxed by an absolute modality
(e.g., `Int` , `Bool` , `[](Int → Int)` , `Int * (Bool + [](Int → Int))`)

cannot leak effects

- **Unrestricted types** (kind `Any`)

also include functions not boxed by an absolute modality

(e.g., `Int → Int` , `Bool * (1 → 1)` , `<Gen>(Int → Bool)`)

can leak effects

Subkinding `Abs ≤ Any`

Returning from Handlers

Why do we need to restrict `a` to kind `Abs` for `answer` ?

```
answer : ∀ [a] . [](<Reader>(1 → a) → a)
```

Consider returning a function of type `1 → Int` from a handler

```
handle (fun () → do ask ()) with return x ⇒ x | ask () r ⇒ r 42
--      ~~~~~
--      returns a function          captured by x
```

We cannot give it type `1 → Int` – otherwise the usage of `ask` is untracked!

One solution is to restrict the return value to have kind `Abs`

Or we can give a more general type to `answer`

Effect Polymorphism

Consider the polymorphic map

```
map : ∀ a b e . (a →{e} b) →{} List a →{e} List b
```

Almost all of the time the following is good enough

```
map : []((a → b) → List a → List b)
```

but still misses the information that the middle arrow is pure

We can recover it by going back to effect variables

```
map : ∀ e . []([e](a → b) → [e](List a → List b))
```

Effect variables are particularly helpful for higher-order effects

Higher-Order Effects

Operation argument and result types must have kind `Abs`

If we allowed `effect Leak = leak : (1 → 1) ⇒ 1`, then we could write

```
--                                     expected to be handled by asList
--                                     ~~~~~
handle asList (fun () → do leak (fun () → do yield 42)) with
  return _ ⇒ fun () → 37
  leak p _ ⇒ p
-- ~~~~~
-- p is substituted with (fun () → do yield 42) ➡ yield escapes the scope of asList
```

which leaks the yield operation

We need to use `effect Leak = leak : [E](1 → 1) ⇒ 1` with a specific `E`

or be parameterised over `E`: `effect Leak e = leak : [e](1 → 1) ⇒ 1`

Encoding Effect Types

a unified framework for studying and comparing effect types

Encoding Rows à la Koka

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket A \rightarrow \{E\} B \rrbracket &= \llbracket E \rrbracket (\llbracket A \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket) \\ \llbracket \forall a. A \rrbracket &= \forall a. \llbracket A \rrbracket\end{aligned}$$

Encoding Capabilities à la Effekt

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket (\overline{A}, \overline{f : T}) \Rightarrow B \rrbracket &= \forall \overline{f^*}. \langle \overline{f^*} \rangle (\llbracket \overline{A} \rrbracket \rightarrow \overline{[f^*]} \llbracket \overline{T} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket) \\ \llbracket T \text{ at } C \rrbracket &= \llbracket [C] \rrbracket \llbracket T \rrbracket\end{aligned}$$

Summary



More in the Papers

Modal Effect Types. OOPSLA 2025.

Wenhao Tang, Leo White, Stephen Dolan, Daniel Hillerström, Sam Lindley, and Anton Lorenzen.

- MET: a core calculus with modal effect types
- Type soundness, effect safety
- A simple bidirectional typing algorithm which infers all modality introduction and elimination
- An encoding of a fragment of traditional effect types into MET without effect variables

Rows and Capabilities as Modal Effects. Draft. Wenhao Tang and Sam Lindley.

- $\text{MET}(X)$: parameterised by an **effect theory** X following Rose (Morris and McKinna 2019)
- An extension with local labels for encoding named handlers
- Encoding various Koka's core calculi into $\text{MET}(R_{scp})$
- Encoding various Effekt's core calculi into $\text{MET}(S)$

Ongoing and Future Work

- On types:
 - novel bidirectional typing for both first-class polymorphism and modal types
 - higher-order effects without effect variables by tracking the order of handlers
 - Fitch-style modality elimination
- On semantics:
 - denotational semantics / logical relations
- Generally:
 - absolute and relative modalities for variable contexts instead of effect contexts (could be useful to multi-stage programming)
 - a general account for sub-moding in MTT

Takeaways

- **Decouple effects from function arrows**
- Do not annotate every function arrow with its effects – annotate effects once for the whole function and then annotate only when there is a change of effects
- By doing so, effect variables become unnecessary (mostly)

-- Traditional effect types

```
inc      :  $\forall e. \text{Int} \rightarrow \{e\} \quad \text{Int}$ 
gen      :  $\forall e. \text{Int} \rightarrow \{\text{Gen}, e\} \quad 1$ 
askAndGen :  $\forall e. 1 \rightarrow \{\text{Reader}, \text{Gen}, e\} \quad 1$ 
app      :  $\forall e. (\text{Int} \rightarrow \{e\} \quad 1) * \text{Int} \rightarrow \{e\} \quad 1$ 
asList   :  $\forall e. (1 \rightarrow \{\text{Gen}, e\} \quad 1) \rightarrow \{e\} \quad \text{List Int}$ 
answer   :  $\forall e. (1 \rightarrow \{\text{Reader}, e\} \quad 1) \rightarrow \{e\} \quad 1$ 
map      :  $\forall a b e. (a \rightarrow \{e\} \quad b) \rightarrow \{\} \quad \text{List } a \rightarrow \{e\} \quad \text{List } b$ 
iterate  :  $\forall a e. (a \rightarrow \{e\} \quad 1) \rightarrow \{\} \quad \text{List } a \rightarrow \{e\} \quad 1$ 
schedule :  $\forall e. (1 \rightarrow \{\text{Coop}, e\} \quad 1) \rightarrow \{e\} \quad \text{List (Proc } e) \rightarrow \{e\} \quad 1$ 
regen    :  $\forall e. (\text{Int} \rightarrow \{\text{Gen}, e\} \quad \text{Int})$   
            $\rightarrow \{\} \quad (1 \rightarrow \{\text{Gen}, \text{Gen}, e\} \quad 1) \rightarrow \{\text{Gen}, e\} \quad 1$ 
```

-- Modal effect types

```
inc      :  $[\ ](\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int})$ 
gen      :  $[\text{Gen}](\text{Int} \rightarrow 1)$ 
askAndGen :  $[\text{Reader}, \text{Gen}](1 \rightarrow 1)$ 
app      :  $[\ ]((\text{Int} \rightarrow 1) * \text{Int} \rightarrow 1)$ 
asList   :  $[\ ](<\text{Gen}>(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow \text{List Int})$ 
answer   :  $[\ ](<\text{Reader}>(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1)$ 
map      :  $\forall a b. [\ ]((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } b)$ 
iterate  :  $\forall a. [\ ]((a \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow \text{List } a \rightarrow 1)$ 
schedule :  $[\ ](<\text{Coop}>(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow \text{List Proc} \rightarrow 1)$ 
regen    :  $[\text{Gen}]((\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}) \rightarrow <\text{Gen}>(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1)$ 
```